

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION

JAMES METCALF

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY
INSURANCE COMPANY AND
KEVIN CASEY YOUNG

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO.

(JURY)

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL
AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company (“Allstate”) files this Notice of Removal and Demand for Jury Trial of Cause No. 096-293685-17, styled *James Metcalf v. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company and Kevin Casey Young*, currently pending in the 96th District Court, Tarrant County, Texas. Allstate removes the case to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division. As grounds for removal, Allstate states as follows:

**I.
OVERVIEW**

1.1 This case involves a dispute over insurance benefits under a Homeowners policy of insurance issued by Allstate to Plaintiff James Metcalf for damage to his home on April 1, 2017 or April 10-11, 2017. (*See* Plaintiff’s Petition, para. 9). Plaintiff commenced this action, styled *James Metcalf v. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company and Kevin Casey Young*, currently pending in the 96th District Court, Tarrant County, Texas, against Allstate by

filing his Plaintiff's Original Petition on August 7, 2017 under Cause Number 096-293685-17. In Plaintiff's Original Petition, he seeks to recover damages from the Defendants in excess of \$100,000.00 but not over \$200,000.00. (*See* Plaintiff's Petition, para. 5) Allstate was served with Plaintiff's Original Petition on August 10, 2017. Therefore, this Notice of Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). A true and correct copy of all process, pleadings, and the orders served upon Allstate in the state court action are being filed with this Notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), and are attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

II. DIVERSITY JURISDICTION

2.1 The District Courts of the United States have original jurisdiction over this action based on complete diversity of citizenship between the parties as contemplated by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). The Plaintiff is now, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a resident and citizen of the State of Texas. (*See* Plaintiff's Petition, page 1). Defendant Allstate is an Illinois Corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois. Accordingly, Allstate is a citizen of the State of Illinois and complete diversity exists. An additional Defendant in the case is Kevin Casey Young ("Young"), a citizen of the State of Texas. His citizenship, however, is irrelevant as he has been improperly joined in this action.

There being complete diversity between the Plaintiff and Defendant Allstate, this case is properly removed to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division.

2.2 The doctrine of improper joinder is an exception to the requirement of complete diversity and provides that a defendant may remove a case to a federal forum unless the in-state defendants were properly joined. *See Smallwood v. Ill. Cent. R. Co.*, 385 F.3d 568, 573 (5th Cir.

2004). Improper joinder is demonstrated where (1) there is actual fraud in the pleading of jurisdictional facts, or (2) the plaintiff is unable to establish a cause of action against the non-diverse party in state court. *See Travis v. Irby*, 325 F.3d 644, 646-47 (5th Cir. 2003). In this case the Plaintiff is unable to establish a cause of action against Young, thereby rendering his joinder improper.

2.3 The Plaintiff's allegations against Young are generic and formulaic claims under the Texas Insurance Code and are unsupported by real facts. Such claims are in violation not only of the pleading requirements set forth in Rule 91a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, but also the federal pleading requirements established by *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 554, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 1964-65, 167 L.Ed.2d 929, 940 (2007), and *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1945, 173 L.Ed.2d 868 (2009). The allegations against Young in this case set forth nothing more than bare legal conclusions together with a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action. These generic recitations on their face not only fail to state a viable cause of action under Texas law but also fail to evidence a plausible entitlement to relief to support the joinder of Young. As such, the allegations against Young exemplify the badge of improper joinder.

2.4 Policyholders in Texas have a long history of improperly joining insurance adjusters and agents as party-defendants in first-party suits against their insurance company to recover policy benefits in an effort to avoid removal of the case from state court to federal court. This is yet another example of one such case. The essence of this lawsuit is the Plaintiff's right of recovery under the insurance contract. Under such circumstances, Young has been improperly joined. Because Young has been improperly joined, the claims against him should

not only be disregarded for purposes of determining diversity, but also should also be dismissed in their entirety as a matter of law.

III. REMOVAL PROPER

3.1 This Court has original jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) as an action wholly between citizens of different states with the matter in controversy exceeding the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

3.2 Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), the removed action is proper in this Court as the district and division embracing the place where the state court action is pending.

3.3 Defendant Allstate, the removing party, will promptly give the parties written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d). Allstate will promptly file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the clerk of the 96th District Court, Tarrant County, Texas, where the action is currently pending, also pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

3.4. Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury.

IV. EXHIBITS ACCOMPANYING REMOVAL

4.1 In conjunction with filing this Notice of Removal, Defendant Allstate files the following documents as exhibits:

Exhibit “A” – Index/Documents filed in the 96th District Court, Tarrant County, Texas

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company pursuant to these statutes and in conformance with the requirements set forth in 28 U.S.C. §1446, removes Cause No. 096-293685-17, styled *James Metcalf v. Allstate*

Vehicle and Property Insurance Company and Kevin Casey Young, currently pending in the 96th District Court, Tarrant County, Texas to this Court on the 1st day of September, 2017 for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

STACY | CONDER | ALLEN LLP

/s/David G. Allen

David G. Allen

State Bar No.: 00786972

allen@stacyconder.com

Danah L. Woods

State Bar No. 24045259

woods@stacyconder.com

901 Main Street, Suite 6200

Dallas, Texas 75202

(214) 748-5000

(214) 748-1421 (fax)

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY
INSURANCE COMPANY**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On September 1, 2017 I electronically submitted the foregoing document with the clerk of court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the court. I hereby certify that I have served all counsel of record electronically or by another manner authorized by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2).

/s/ David G. Allen

David G. Allen

DGA/PLDG/591796.1/000003.17194